Review Article

Comparative Efficacy of Dr. Boenninghausen's Approach Using Synthesis Repertory for the Management of Irritable Bowel Syndrome

Sayli S. Kelkar[1]
[1]*Department of Practice of Medicine, D. S. Homoeopathic Medical College, Pune.*

Corresponding Author:
Sayli S. Kelkar
E-mail: saylikelkar@gmail.com

Submission: 29.06.2025 Acceptance:30.07.2025 Publication:30.06.2025

Abstract:

Background: Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a functional bowel disorder that significantly affects the quality of life. This study evaluates the efficacy of Dr. Boenninghausen's approach, using the Synthesis repertory, for treating IBS.

Methods: A randomized controlled trial was conducted on 30 participants diagnosed with IBS based on Manning's and Rome criteria. Participants were allocated to a treatment group using Dr. Boenninghausen's method and a control group using standard homoeopathic management. Outcome measures included reduction in symptom intensity, frequency, and duration, analyzed using paired t-tests.

Results: The intervention group showed significant improvement, with 80% of participants experiencing reduced symptom intensity and attack frequency compared to the control group. The statistical analysis ($t = 65.5$, $p < 0.01$) confirmed the approach's efficacy.

Conclusions: Dr. Boenninghausen's approach is a practical and effective method for managing IBS, enhancing physical and mental symptoms while improving patient compliance.

Keywords: Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS), Boenninghausen's Approach, Synthesis Repertory, Homeopathy, Psychosomatic Disorders, Physical General Symptoms, Modalities, Concomitants, Randomized Controlled Trial, Integrative Healthcare

Introduction:

Background:

Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a psychosomatic disorder characterized by abdominal pain, altered bowel habits, and absence of organic pathology[1-4] While the condition involves a significant psychosocial component, eliciting precise mental symptoms is often challenging due to patients' overanxious tendencies. Dr. Boenninghausen's approach focuses on physical general symptoms, modalities, and concomitants, making it an effective entry point for treatment[5-8]

Objective:

To assess the comparative efficacy of Dr. Boenninghausen's approach using the Synthesis repertory for treating IBS.

Methods:

Trial Design:

A randomized, controlled, single-center trial was conducted over six months.

Participants:

- **Inclusion Criteria**: Patients fulfilling Manning's and Rome criteria for IBS with symptoms persisting for more than 12 weeks.
- **Exclusion Criteria**: Patients with red-flag symptoms, abnormal laboratory findings, or co-morbidities like IBD or celiac disease.

Interventions:

- **Group A (Intervention)**: Dr. Boenninghausen's approach using the Synthesis repertory.
- **Group B (Control)**: Standard homoeopathic treatment.

  Medications were individualized based on repertorization, with potency and repetition tailored to each case.

Outcomes:

- Primary outcomes: Symptom intensity, attack frequency, and duration reduction.
- Secondary outcomes: Overall patient satisfaction and adherence to treatment.

Sample Size:

The study included 30 participants, randomized equally into the intervention and control groups.

Randomization and Blinding:

Random allocation was performed using computer-generated random numbers. Blinding was applied to the outcome assessor but not the treating physician.

Statistical Methods:

Paired t-tests were used to analyze pre- and post-treatment scores. The level of significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

Results:

A total of 30 participants diagnosed with IBS were included in the study, with an age range of 16 to 65 years. The majority of participants (30%) fell within the age group of 31-40 years, aligning with the middle-age prevalence of IBS. Female predominance was evident, with 66.7% of the participants being women compared to 33.3% men, reflecting a 2:1 female-to-male ratio. Interestingly, among the women, 55% were housewives, highlighting the potential impact of lifestyle factors and psychosocial stressors in this demographic.

The pre-treatment symptom intensity scores averaged 12.4, while the post-treatment scores significantly dropped to 2.3 in the intervention group, demonstrating a marked reduction in symptoms. In contrast, the control group, which received standard homoeopathic treatment, showed comparatively less improvement. Statistical analysis using paired t-tests revealed a highly significant reduction in symptom intensity, frequency, and duration in the intervention group ($t = 65.5$, $p < 0.01$).

When assessing stool patterns, 54% of participants presented with IBS characterized by diarrhea, 33% with predominant constipation, and 13% experienced alternating diarrhea and constipation. Across all subtypes, patients in the intervention group reported improvements in stool consistency, reduced pain, and a diminished sensation of incomplete evacuation. Furthermore, the treatment notably reduced the duration and frequency of IBS episodes, providing significant relief to patients over the six-month study period.

Overall, 80% of participants in the intervention group exhibited marked improvement in symptoms, compared to only 50% in the control group. This highlights the enhanced effectiveness of Dr. Boenninghausen's approach when applied through the Synthesis repertory. Additionally, patients reported increased satisfaction with treatment, citing reduced symptom severity and improved quality of life. The inclusion of counseling, yoga, and dietary modifications as adjuvants likely contributed to the holistic benefits observed, further enhancing the intervention's outcomes.

Statistical Analysis:

The paired t-test showed a significant reduction in symptom intensity and attack frequency in the intervention group compared to the control group ($t = 65.5$, $p < 0.01$).

Discussion:

This study highlights the effectiveness of Dr. Boenninghausen's approach using the Synthesis repertory in managing IBS, a functional disorder known for its psychosomatic nature and significant impact on quality of life.[9-13] The approach prioritizes physical general symptoms, modalities, and concomitants over elusive mental symptoms, making it particularly useful for IBS patients who often struggle to articulate the mental aspects of their condition.[14-15] The results demonstrate significant improvement in the intervention group, with 80% of patients experiencing marked symptom relief, including reduced intensity, frequency, and duration of IBS episodes. This outcome aligns with the theoretical framework of Dr. Boenninghausen, who emphasized the importance of observable and reliable symptoms for effective treatment.[16-20]

The strength of this study lies in its individualized treatment methodology, which addressed the unique symptom profile of each patient. The use of a validated repertory, such as the Synthesis repertory, enhanced the precision of remedy selection, ensuring a holistic approach to IBS management.[21-24] Additionally, the study benefited from robust statistical analysis, which confirmed the significance of the findings. The focus on physical symptoms and concomitants, which are often more accessible to patients, made the approach practical and user-friendly for both practitioners and patients. Moreover, the inclusion of counseling, meditation, and yoga as adjuvants likely contributed to the overall effectiveness of the treatment, highlighting the value of an integrative approach to healthcare.

However, the study had several limitations. The small sample size of 30 participants restricts the generalizability of the findings. Larger, multicenter trials are necessary to validate these results and assess their applicability to broader populations. Additionally, the study was conducted in a single center with partial blinding, which may introduce bias in outcome assessment. Another limitation was the lack of a longer follow-up period to assess the sustainability of the observed improvements. Future studies should address these limitations to provide more comprehensive evidence.

In comparison to previous research, which often emphasizes the difficulty of addressing mental symptoms in IBS, this study reinforces the practicality of focusing on physical general symptoms and modalities. It also underscores the utility of repertories like Synthesis, which integrate both Kentian and Boenninghausen's methodologies, allowing practitioners to approach complex cases with confidence and precision. By demonstrating the efficacy of this approach in a condition as challenging as IBS, this study paves the way for further exploration into the application of homoeopathy in psychosomatic disorders.

Conclusions:

Dr. Boenninghausen's approach is a valuable method for managing IBS, ensuring effective symptom relief and improved patient compliance. Further multicenter trials with larger sample sizes are recommended to validate these findings.

Source of Support: Nil

Conflict of Interest: Nil

References

1. Boenninghausen CV. *Lesser Writings*.
2. Boenninghausen CV. *Therapeutic Pocket Book*. Allen's Translation.
3. Castro R. *Logic of Repertory*.
4. Desai B. *How to Arrive at Similimum Using Boger–Boenninghausen's Method*.
5. Mohanty N. *Textbook of Homoeopathic Repertory*.
6. Patel R. *The Art of Case-Taking and Practical Repertorization in Homoeopathy*. 6th ed. 1998. Part-1 Chapter 1: *Investigation of Acute Diseases*. pp. 17-20.
7. Tiwari S. *Essentials of Repertorization*. 3rd Revised and Enlarged ed.
8. Khanaj VR. *Reperire: Repertory Simplified*. 2nd Corrected and Added ed.
9. Hahnemann S. *Organon of Medicine*. 6th ed.
10. Hahnemann S. *Organon of Medicine with Introduction and Commentary by B.K. Sarkar*.
11. Vijayakar P. *Predictive Homoeopathy Part-II: Theory of Acutes*.
12. *Blueprint of Synthesis Repertory*.
13. *RepertoriumHomoeopathicumSyntheticum*.
14. Fauci AS, Braunwald E, Kasper DL, et al. *Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine*. 17th ed.
15. API. *Textbook of Medicine*.
16. Davidson S. *Davidson's Principles and Practice of Medicine*. 20th ed.
17. Sleisenger MH, Fordtran JS. *Gastrointestinal Diseases*. 8th ed.
18. Boenninghausen CV. Repertorization of Acute Cases with Boger–Boenninghausen's Repertory. *Homoeopathic Heritage*. 1991 Feb; pp. 69-71.
19. Roy SP. Repertorization of Acute Cases. *The Homoeopathic Heritage*. 1991 Feb;69-71.
20. *The Homoeopathic Heritage*. 1992 Jun;359-60.
21. Kumta PS. Repertorization in Chronic Cases. *The Homoeopathic Heritage*. 2002 Jun.
22. *Homoeopathic Quarterly*. Winter 1995-96;4(3):7.
23. Barvalia P. Acute vs. Chronic Remedies. *Indian J Homoeopathic Med.*
24. Shah J. Homoeopathic Management of Functional Disorders. *Indian J Homoeopathic Med.*